



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/515,239	03/06/2000	Ju Cheon Yeo	8733.20093	8733.20093 7949	
30827	7590 04/23/2003				
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			EXAMINER		
1900 K STRI WASHINGT	EET, NW ON, DC 20006		KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2675	12	
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	しつ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

80

A · ·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
' Advisory Action	09/515,239	YEO ET AL.	
. ariouty riodon	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
	Srilakshmi K. Kumar	2675	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic  ) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper replication in the state of the application in the state of the application in the state of t	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	ng date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION.	ion. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	of extension and the corresponding ame the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The app originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below); .		
(c)       they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ns.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been cons <u>ee Continuation Sheet</u> .	idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved of b) disapp	proved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTD-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:	Mulle		
	STEVEN SARAS PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER		
SU	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to independent claims 1 and 11, Bassetti discloses in Fig. 3A and in col. 7, lines 1-26 for consecutively providing the color data signals having the same color before applying a different color. Fig. 3A discloses where signals are applied to red then green then blue from items 331, 332 and 333 respectively. Thus, rejection is maintained..